Computer Program for Estimating Evapotranspiration using the Thornthwaite Method

Introduction

Understanding the earth's hydrologic cycle is important to water resource planners and managers. One of the most difficult components of the hydrologic cycle to estimate is evapotranspiration. Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) developed a detailed method to estimate evapotranspiration. Although this method for computing potential and actual evapotranspiration is highly accepted and widely used, it is unfeasible to perform these calculations with large data sets. The computer program developed in this report allows the calculation of these parameters to be accomplished in a more efficient and timely manner.

Evapotranspiration

Potential evapotranspiration (PET), as described by Penman (1948) is "the amount of water transpired in a unit time by a short green crop completely shading the ground, of uniform height, and never short of water." This definition allows maximum evapotranspiration, which is only limited by the energy source (thermal energy) that is applied to the evaporative surface. Initially, evaporation from a wet soil is limited by the energy source, however, with time, this process is limited by the decreased rates of water flow to the active evaporative surface. Since the process of evaporation and transpiration in most watersheds is controlled by water flow through unsaturated soils (Brooks et al., 1990), potential evapotranspiration estimates may not represent true evapotranspiration values.

Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) developed a method of estimating PET based solely on air temperature. PET estimates are based upon a 12-hour day (amount of daylight) and a 30-day month.

PET~~=~~1.6~TIMES~{(10~T\_a)^a} over I

where: Ta is the mean monthly air temperature, and I is the heat index;

I~~=~~ SUM \_{i=1}^12~{({T\_{ai}} over 5)}^{1.5}

and a = .49 + .0179 \* I - .0000771 \* I2 + .000000675 \* I3,

where air temperature is summed over a 12- month period to a power.

Since evapotranspiration is limited over time by the flow of moisture from the soil zone to the evaporative surface, Thornthwaite included methods to compute actual evapotranspiration. First, moisture excess or deficiency is estimated by subtracting PET from precipitation; negative values represent the potential deficiency of water. Then actual evapotranspiration is found for months where a moisture deficiency is identified by estimating the change in storage of the soil moisture zone and subtracting that amount from precipitation.

Therefore, for months where the supply of thermal energy can evaporate all of the available precipitation, actual evaporation consists of a combination of the available precipitation and the amount of water taken from soil moisture storage.

Method

In order to apply Thornthwaite's method with the accompanying computer program, specific information is required:

1) mean monthly or daily air temperatures;

2) mean monthly or daily precipitation;

3) necessary conversion and computational tables (included in the computer program);

4) information on the water holding capacity of the depth of soil for which the balance is to be computed; and,

5) the latitude and hemisphere of the watershed.

With the exception of the water holding capacity of the depth of soil, the other items are easily obtained. Tables 1-5 are excerpts from section IV of Thornthwaite and Mather (1957); the contents of which will aid in selecting the proper soil moisture retention table.

Table 1. Shallow-rooted crops (Spinach, Peas, Beans, Beets, Carrots, etc.)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Soil Type | Available Water (mm/m) | Root Zone (m) | Applicable Soil Moisture Retention Table (mm) |
| Fine Sand | 100 | .5 | 50 |
| Fine Sandy Loam | 150 | .5 | 75 |
| Silt Loam | 200 | .62 | 125 |
| Clay Loam | 250 | .40 | 100 |
| Clay | 300 | .25 | 75 |

Table 2. Moderately Deep-Rooted Crops (Corn, Cotton, Tobacco, Cereal Grains)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Soil Type | Available Water (mm/m) | Root Zone (m) | Applicable Soil Moisture Retention Table (mm) |
| Fine Sand | 100 | .75 | 75 |
| Fine Sandy Loam | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Silt Loam | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |
| Clay Loam | 250 | .80 | 200 |
| Clay | 300 | .50 | 50 |

Table 3. Deep-Rooted Crops (Alfalfa, Pastures, Shrubs)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Soil Type | Available Water (mm/m) | Root Zone (m) | Applicable Soil Moisture Retention Table (mm) |
| Fine Sand | 100 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Fine Sandy Loam | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Silt Loam | 200 | 1.25 | 250 |
| Clay Loam | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Clay | 300 | .67 | 200 |

Table 4. Orchards

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Soil Type | Available Water (mm/m) | Root Zone (m) | Applicable Soil Moisture Retention Table (mm) |
| Fine Sand | 100 | 1.5 | 150 |
| Fine Sandy Loam | 150 | 1.67 | 250 |
| Silt Loam | 200 | 1.5 | 300 |
| Clay Loam | 250 | 1.00 | 250 |
| Clay | 300 | .67 | 200 |

Table 5. Closed Mature Forest

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Soil Type | Available Water (mm/m) | Root Zone (m) | Applicable Soil Moisture Retention Table (mm) |
| Fine Sand | 100 | 2.50 | 250 |
| Fine Sandy Loam | 150 | 2.00 | 300 |
| Silt Loam | 200 | 2.00 | 400 |
| Clay Loam | 250 | 1.60 | 400 |
| Clay | 300 | 1.17 | 350 |

FORTRAN PROGRAM "*EVAP"*

This FORTRAN program was developed following Thornthwaite and Mather's methods as outlined in their 1957 publication. It includes all the necessary tables to complete the required computations. These tables and the input data files should reside in the same directory as the executable program. In order to successfully run the FORTRAN program EVAP, monthly precipitation, air temperature, latitude of the watershed, and moisture holding capacity of the soil is needed. Provided below are two examples in which Thornthwaite and Mather's method was applied. The first is an example used in Thornthwaite and Mather (1957; pg. 18) from Bismarck North Dakota, and the second one is a data set that is provided with the computer program.

Example 1:

Precipitation Temperature PET Actual ET

 mm oC mm mm

 11.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

 11.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

 23.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

 39.00 5.60 30.78 30.78

 59.00 12.50 78.00 70.00

 85.00 17.60 114.84 100.00

 57.00 21.00 139.65 89.00

 46.00 19.60 120.78 66.00

 31.00 14.50 75.60 39.00

 24.00 7.20 30.69 26.00

 14.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

 14.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Columns 1 - 4 are precipitation, temperature, potential evapotranspiration (PET) and actual evapotranspiration respectively. Temperature and precipitation from this example were input into the program which resulted in a PET and Actual ET output. The PET and Actual ET values mimic Thornthwaite's values, differing only by a tenth of a millimeter (mm) due to computer precision.

Example 2:

The user should follow this example format when imputing their own data. Monthly temperature and precipitation data must be entered in the form of an ASCII text file, below is an example of the input file *Climate.dat* that is provided with the program:

 1970 1 -9.76 34.16

 1970 2 -4.84 9.03

 1970 3 -0.63 58.80

 1970 4 7.97 93.43

 1970 5 14.19 152.00

 1970 6 18.74 166.77

 1970 7 22.78 59.61

 1970 8 22.07 13.82

 1970 9 16.74 230.49

 1970 10 11.70 70.95

 1970 11 3.07 63.62

 1970 12 -2.10 36.64

 1971 1 -9.06 41.70

 1971 2 -5.26 65.63

 1971 3 -1.39 37.74

Columns 1 and 2 of this file are the year and month respectively, columns 3 and 4 are the monthly air temperatures in degrees Celsius and precipitation totals in millimeters. Note: this file should not contain values for missing data, if data is missing, simply leave that month out of the data file. The output file is listed below:

 Year Month Precip Temp PET Actual ET

 1970 1 34.16 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1970 2 9.03 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1970 3 58.80 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1970 4 93.43 7.97 36.96 36.96

 1970 5 152.00 14.19 83.16 83.16

 1970 6 166.77 18.74 115.20 115.20

 1970 7 59.61 22.78 147.06 137.61

 1970 8 13.82 22.07 129.60 90.82

 1970 9 230.49 16.74 81.12 81.12

 1970 10 70.95 11.70 48.45 48.45

 1970 11 63.62 3.07 9.72 9.72

 1970 12 36.64 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1971 1 41.70 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1971 2 65.63 0.00 0.00 0.00

 1971 3 37.74 0.00 0.00 0.00

Again, columns 1 and 2 are year and month, and columns 3-4 are precipitation, temperature, potential evapotranspiration (PET), and actual evapotranspiration in units of millimeters. Note: Thornthwaite makes the assumption that evapotranspiration ceases for temperatures at or below 0o Celsius, therefore negative temperatures are changed to zero, and, consequently, evapotranspiration does not occur.

Figure 1 has been created for the watershed outputs from the input file (*Climate.dat)*. This watershed is located on Lake Michigan's eastern shore at a latitude of 43 degrees and an approximate soil moisture retention of 350 mm. As expected, actual evapotranspiration is consistently lower than PET and averages about 66% of the total precipitation, which fits the range of 50 - 90% for the state of Michigan.

Conclusion

Although evapotranspiration is an elusive quantity of the water balance, Thornthwaite's method has been used widely as a source of quantification. The use of this laborious method is now more efficiently and timely accomplished with the aid of the computer program that allows automatic "look up" capabilities to Thornthwaite's tables and minor calculations.
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